UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,144	09/06/2005	Alfred Heimann	20496-473	2748
42532 PROSKAUER	7590 04/09/200 ROSE LLP		EXAMINER	
	ATIONAL PLACE		CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/521,144	HEIMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rick K. Chang	3726			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Fe</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn fro 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/521,144 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 2/3/09 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the presence of "Fig. 4 is intended for the abstract." Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There are numerous phrases and clauses in the claims that are vague, indefinite, and/or awkwardly and confusingly worded, and therefore, are not fully understood. The following are examples:

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/521,144 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

Claims 1-7 do not conform to proper US method format.

Claims are ambiguous and competitors would be unable to discern the bounds of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cadena (US 6,393,885) in view of Blaimschein (US 4,494,280).

Re claims 1, 4, 6: Cadena discloses that the roundings are deep rolled with a deep rolling tool and then, maintaining a distance interval to the individual transition in each case (Figs. 3, 5a-5b, 6-11), except for the bearing position concerned is machined with removal of material with a small cutting depth is carried out with unspecified cutting edge by grinding or milling.

Blaimschein discloses that the bearing position concerned is machined with removal of material with a small cutting depth is carried out with unspecified cutting edge by grinding or milling (Figs. 3, 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cadena by machining the bearing position with removal of material with a small cutting depth is carried out with unspecified cutting edge by grinding or milling, as taught by Blaimschein, for the purpose of meeting the design criteria.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,144

Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

Re claims 2-3, 5 and 7: Cadena discloses fails to disclose the rolling-in depth at the deep rolling of the roundings is between 0.1 and 0.5; the cutting depth during the final material-removing machining of the bearing positions amounts to between 0.1 and 0.5 mm; machining is carried out with a grinding wheel which had an edge radius of up to 1 mm; and the distance interval between the cheek and the bearing position in each case is between 0.5 and 5 mm.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the rolling-in depth at the deep rolling of the roundings is between 0.1 and 0.5; the cutting depth during the final material-removing machining of the bearing positions amounts to between 0.1 and 0.5 mm; machining is carried out with a grinding wheel which had an edge radius of up to 1 mm; and the distance interval between the cheek and the bearing position in each case is between 0.5 and 5 mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

7. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,144 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The

examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rick K. Chang/

Primary Examiner, A.U. 3726

RC

April 9, 2009